

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name BLM - Bishop Field Office
- b. Organizational Unit 05
- c. Address 351 Pacu Lane, Suite 200
- e. City Bishop State CA Zip 93514
- f. Federal Id Number 76-0872500 DUNS Number 2000000005-19
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and day) October-01
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☒ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-01-05-G01	Ground Operations Chalfant Re-Route	10,000	11,000	21,000
2	G09-01-05-G02	Ground Operations Motor Touring Guides	24,000	12,000	36,000
3	G09-01-05-L01	Law Enforcement	28,000	107,000	135,000
4	G09-01-05-R01	Restoration Chalfant 2010	38,000	13,000	51,000
5	G09-01-05-R02	Restoration Alabama Hills Protection	26,000	28,000	54,000
6	G09-01-05-R03	Restoration White Mountains	33,000	19,000	52,000
7		TOTAL	159,000	190,000	349,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700352

3. Contact

a. Project Administrator

Name	Rich Williams					
Title	Program Director					
Mailing Address	351 Pacu					
City	Bishop	State	CA	Zip	93514	
Telephone	(760) 872-5033			Fax	(760) 872-5050	
E-mail Address	rdwillia@ca.blm.gov					

b. Authorized Representative

Name	Bernadette Lovato					
Title	Manager					
Mailing Address	351 Pacu Lane, Suite 100					
City	Bishop	State	CA	Zip	93514	
Telephone	(760) 872-5011			Fax		
E-mail Address	Bernadette_Lovato@blm.gov					

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

A. Location Map

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☐ Yes ☒ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Attachments:

[Soil Evaluation Form](#)
[Soil Conservation Plan](#)
[Soil Conservation Report](#)

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/26/2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/24/2010]
- ☒ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

Public comments received were generally supportive of Bishop BLM's grant applications. Support came from the California Wilderness Coalition, Eastern Sierra 4WD Club, Alabama Stewardship Group, Chalfant Public Land Stewards, and various individuals.

Division comments:

General Application Requirements #1a. Dates changed to reflect reference document.

#2. See <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/bishop/suv/routes.html> #3. The Bishop BLM is a certified partner with Hands on The Land, a youth organization, and provides from 8 to 12 formal school trips (grade school level) where students are taught about the importance of good environmental ethics in a natural setting. Subject taught include Tread Lightly! principals as well as safe and responsible OHV practices.

GO Chalfant Re-Route: Staff, changed to Seasonal Maintenance Worker.

GO Motor Touring: A .Clarified Projection Description, Install and maintain route markers and signs at the start/end and along the designated OHV routes that correspond with route as depicted in one of BLM's guide and map books. The routes and surrounding areas can be toured in an SUV, 4x4, pick-up truck, motorcycle or ATV. In conjunction with the signing the BLM will revise, print and distribute the 51 page guide and map book, "Motoring Touring in the Eastern Sierra - Mono County". The revisions in the Guide will indicate that the proper starting point for each of the 10 numbered routes is signed with the corresponding number indicated in the Guide. This is to avoid the public from starting a route which is beyond the operators driving ability (each route has a difficulty rating) or from starting on the wrong route. Staff: Changet to Seansonal Maintenance Worker. Vehicles: Changed to FOR. Evaluation Criteria: #2 The route system would fulfill one of the highest needs for recreation identified in the 2007 California Outdoor Recreation Plan, Driving for Pleasure. The routes with guide and maps provide safe, sustainable OHV opportunity and focus the use on designated maintained routes. Without revision and printing the public would lose out on the opportunity to experience the back country scenic routes highlighted and interpretive the Guide.

A negative impact to cultural sites, damage to sensitive habitat and potential trespass is a real possibility without the Guide. People do explore the back roads of the Eastern Sierra and without the specific and sustainable routes that are contained in the guide they may take their vehicle into sensitive wildlife habitat, damage cultural sites, and trespass into private property or damage trail facilities due to improper vehicle usage. #3 The routes would be well marked easy to follow OHV loops. Each route is rated in the guide book and on the route marker to provide varied levels of skill and challenge. Additionally, the guide contains two pages of educational off-highway vehicle driving tips, safety and user ethics. By matching the route number in the guide with the route marker on the ground the public is assured they are travel on a safe designated route. #6 The signed routes protects water quality, sensitive species and cultural sites by directing use away from sensitive areas with watershed, wildlife and cultural values. No wet water crossings, routes cross any riparian or wet meadows and when using the guide book the public can easily find amenities along routes such as restrooms and fuel. The guide would also discuss back country environmental ethics.

Restoration Alabama Hills Discription: "The protection of restored areas through the placement of physical barriers, patrols and vertical mulching". (4970.11 (e) (5))

Currently, previously restored areas have been violated and are in need of additional barricading, vertical mulching, and patrols.

This will be accomplished through patrols and maintenance of site specific restoration projects by a full time Park Ranger whose duty area will be the Alabama Hills. The Project period is three years.

Restoration White Mountains Evaluation: #2 Currently, OHV use is damaging cultural sites eligible for listing under the National Historic Preservation Act. A complete inventory of these sites would be identified with funding from this grant. Furthermore, illegal trails have been created on steep slopes causing soil erosion and damaging habitat that contains special status species. (California Native Plant Society listing 1B - July Gold) The grant will assist the BLM in determining restoration techniques to implement to protect significant cultural sites, address soil loss and protect sensitive habitat. Failure to fund this grant will mean that trail proliferation will continue to damage resources and could lead to closure of the area to motor vehicles.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☐ Yes ☒ No
Yes or No)
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700352

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 10/2008

Ending (Month/Year) 09/2009

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity

- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12

- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 2500

- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 1894

- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 642103

- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 146.13

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page

BLM's Recreation Management Information System contains visitor use data

GIS data base contains GPS route inventory.

Resource Management Plan (1993) contains seasonal use and Open area information.

- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 146.13

Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 8

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
☒ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
☐ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
☐ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points)

☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)

☐ 1 skill level (1 point)

☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs): 505000

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☒ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

BLM's Financial and Business Management System.

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☐ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c) ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☐ At least once per week (3 points)
☐ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

There is at least one Federal law enforcement ranger on duty seven days a week including weekends, holidays and after hours. Additionally, park rangers and volunteers (Bishop BLM currently has nine active volunteers) patrol on motorcycles or 4x4 vehicles looking for problems, picking up trash and providing visitor services.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Bishop BLM identifies OHV trespass as: cross country travel; creation of new routes and OHV use in closed areas such as Wilderness or private property. Bishop BLM has identified problem areas and patrols these areas up to 5 times a week. Paid staff as well as volunteers provides visitor services on-site at OHV use areas handing out information as well as a presence to deter illegal use. Additionally, Bishop BLM has created an OHV Web page with regulations, maps, OHV guides and Tread Lightly! tips. Route closures are generally barricaded to physically prevent entry from OHVs. Where private property is within public lands the boundaries along open routes are signed advising the public when they are entering/leaving public lands.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
☒ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☐ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 30 times per year (5 points) ☐ 18-29 times per year (3 points)
☐ 4-17 times per year (1 points) ☒ Less than 4 times per year (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

Bishop BLM has developed several handouts to target safety, ethics and regulations. The emphasis is to talk directly to the recreationalist. We currently have four volunteer trail stewards who patrol the public lands on motorcycles handing out information as well as discussing user ethics and what OHV users can do to protect OHV opportunity. Our law enforcement and park rangers also patrol on motorcycles providing the same services, their presence providing a deterrent to illegal use. Contact is not limited to BLM public lands. Several riding areas are adjacent to public lands are on private property or US Forest Service Lands, and these areas are visited by both BLM paid staff and our volunteer trail stewards to answer any questions. No public ATV or MSF courses are provided. Response to Division comments: The Bishop BLM is a certified partner with Hands on The Land, a youth organization, and provides from 8 to 12 formal school trips (grade school level) where students are taught OHV Tread Lightly ethics.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10) ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/bishop/ohv_and_suv_info.html

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |

- ☐ Parades
☒ Programs at schools

☒ Radio

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer item b) ☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

- b. Resource Management Information System 5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

1. California Natural Diversity database
2. California BLM Cultural Resources GIS database
3. Annual plant and animal species census case file
4. Programmatic OHV environmental assessment, various vegetation treatments, e.g. aspen, pinyon, sagebrush steppe related restoration projects. BLM Special Status Species Management 6840 manual.
5. Bishop Resource Management Plan, biological consultation case files (Fish and Wildlife Service)
6. Allotment Management Plan, Range Management Plan.

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

- a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response Bishop BLM has adopted California State Parks 2008 Soil Conservation Standard and Guidelines Trail Condition Evaluation.

- b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response In addition to utilizing Appendix 3 of the 2008 Soil Conservation Maintenance and Evaluation check list see also Standard Operation Procedures
http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/bishop/restoration/rp_standardop.html

12. Soil Management - Page 2

- c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☐ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 4

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

☒ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)

☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)

☐ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

Bishop BLM's law enforcement rangers carry sound testing meters for testing on public lands, violations may result in a citation. Bishop BLM also offers free sound testing, no tickets, within the field office resource management area. How to schedule a test can be found on our Web site. Special Recreation OHV permit holders are required to test all OHVs prior to the start of the event and random testing is conducted by field staff once the event is underway. Sound testing is conducted by certified employees trained by Chris Real. Calibration of sound units is performed by DPS Technical Inc.